



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

REMARKS

RECEIVED
MAR 22 2002
TECHNICAL CENTER 2800

Claims 1, 17, 18, 20, and 22 have been amended. Claims 32 and 33 have been added.
Claim 19 has been cancelled. Claims 1-18 and 20-33 remain in the case.

1. Objection to Specification

The embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code has been deleted, as suggested by the examiner.

2. Amendment to claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended and now specifies:

An apparatus comprising

a mounting substrate having a first light source and a second light source mounted thereon;

a first aperture device having a first aperture and a second aperture;

wherein the first aperture device can be aligned over the mounting substrate so that light from the first light source is emitted through the first aperture of the first aperture device and light from the second light source is emitted through the second aperture of the first aperture device; and

wherein the first aperture device is adjustably mounted to the mounting substrate so that the first aperture device can move with respect to the mounting substrate; and

the first aperture device is aligned with the mounting substrate to allow the emission of light from the first light source through the first aperture of the first aperture device and light from the second light source through the second aperture of the first aperture device by moving the first aperture device with respect to the mounting substrate.

In one or more embodiments of the present invention, the first aperture device can move with respect to the mounting substrate, such as for example movement by rotation, to align the first aperture device with the mounting substrate. (See for example, present application, pg. 15, first paragraph and p. 16, second paragraph). The prior art does not show the combination of limitations of claim 1.

Claims 2-16, 20-21, and 24-31 are dependent on amended claim 1 and are also submitted

to be allowable for at least that reason.

3. Amendment to claims 17, 18, and 22


Claims 17 and 18 have been amended to incorporate the limitations of originally filed claim 1. Claims 17 and 18 were indicated to be allowable by the examiner if rewritten in independent form with limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of originally filed claims 8 and 1 except that the limitation of "centrally" regarding mounting has been deleted to broaden claim 22. Claim 22 was indicated to be allowable by the examiner if rewritten in independent form with limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 22 is submitted to be allowable in its broadened form also. Claim 23 is dependent on claim 22 and is submitted to be allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 22.

4. Conclusion:

In view of the foregoing the remaining claims in the case (claims 1-18 and 20-33) are respectfully submitted to be in a condition for allowance. A credit card payment form for three extra independent claims ($3 \times 42.00 = 126.00$) and 2 extra total claims ($2 \times 9.00 = 18.00$) for a total of \$144.00 is enclosed. Favorable reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Walter J. Tencza Jr.

Reg. No. 35,708
Suite 3
10 Station Place
Metuchen, N.J. 08840
(732) 549-3007
Fax (732) 549-8486

18